Java Type Safety

March 7, 2002

1 ASM State

The state consists of many components scattered throughout the book. The components of the state are all collected here for easy reference.

Ν	State component	Туре	Comment
1	thread	Thread	the current (active) thread
2	meth	Class/MSig	the current executing method
			(in the current thread)
3	restbody	$Pos \rightarrow Phrase$	body of current method
4	pos	Pos	index to current subexpression of
			interest within body
5	locals	$Loc \rightarrow Val$	environment holding values for local variables
6	globals	$Class/Field \rightarrow Val$	environment holding values for static fields
7	frames	Frame*	Stack of frames
			Frame = (Class/MSig, Phrase, Pos, Locals)
8	heap	$Ref \rightarrow Val$	Неар
9	classState	$Class \rightarrow ClassState$	State of each loaded class:
			Linked, InProgress, Initialized, or Unusable
10	cont	<i>Thread</i> \rightarrow (<i>Frame</i> [*] , <i>Frame</i>)	continuation (stack) of each non-active thread
11	sync	$Thread \rightarrow Ref^*$	for each thread, the stack of objects
			whose locks were grabbed by the thread
12	locks	$Ref \rightarrow Nat$	for each object, the number of times the lock
			has been grabbed (by some thread)
13	waitSet	$Ref \rightarrow Powerset(Thread)$	for each object, the set of threads waiting
			to access this object
14	exec	$Thread \rightarrow ThreadState$	for each thread, its state: NotStarted, Active,
			Synchronizing, Waiting, Notified, or Dead
15	syncObj	$Thread \rightarrow Ref$	for each (synchronizing) thread, the object
			whose lock the thread is waiting to acquire
16	waitObj	$Thread \rightarrow Ref$	for each (waiting) thread, the object on
			which it is waiting
17	interruptedFlag	$Thread \to Bool$	has this thread been interrupted?
18	<i>initWait</i>	$Class \longrightarrow Powerset(Thread)$	for each class, the set of threads waiting for
			the initialization of the class to complete
19	initThread	$Class \rightarrow Thread$	for each class, the thread that is initializing it

2 Type Safety

A state *n* consisting of the 19 components above is *state-safe* if:

- For each thread q, each frame of the thread is *frame-safe*.
- For each thread q, each chain of frames on the stack for the thread is *chain-safe*.
- The following hold:
 - The source program typechecks and satisfies the rules of definite assignment and all other restrictions required by the Java Language Specification.
 - The declared type of a static field agrees with its value in $globals_n$. The declared type of an instance field agrees with its value in the allocated object in $heap_n$.
 - If any component of the state mentions a reference r (for example, r is the value of a static field, or r is the value of a local variable in the state), then r is in the domain of $heap_n$ (it is not a dangling reference).
 - If $heap_n$ contains an instance of class A, then class A is not abstract, and the heap-allocated instance includes values for all the fields declared in the class and its superclasses.

A frame (meth, resbody, pos, locals) is frame-safe if:

- before(pos) ⊆ dom(locals): any variable that, according to the rules of definite assignment, is definitely assigned before the current position, must be in the domain of the environment.
- If *restbody*/ α is a value of type *B*, then *B* is run-time compatible with the compiletime type of position α .
- If pos is in the scope of a local variable declaration of variable x of type A and $x \in dom(locals)$ then locals(x) is a value of type B that is run-time compatible with A.
- and many more ...

A chain of frames is *chain-safe* if:

- The return type of one frame is consistent with the type expected by the parent frame.
- and more ...

Outline of the Proof of Type Safety

Just like the proof of type safety for MinML, the proof is by induction of the number of steps in the run of the ASM. One needs to show that the initial state is state-safe (base case), and that a safe state is either a final state or it can be updated to another state which is also state-safe.

Here we outline just one case. Consider a state where the phrase at the current position is a use of a local variable. This is not a final state; the transition rules in Fig. 3.2 show that this state can be rewritten to a new state in which the use of the local variable at the current position is replaced by its value in the environment *locals*. To be able to make this transition we must know that the variable is in the domain of *locals* and to finish the proof in this case, we must show that the resulting state is still state-safe.

To argue that the variable must be in the domain of *locals* we proceed as follows. Given that the current state is state-safe we know that $before(pos) \subseteq dom(locals)$. Because the program satisfies the rules of definite assignment, the variable used must have been definitely assigned, and hence must be in the set before(pos). This means that it is also in the domain of *locals*.

To show that the resulting is state-safe, it suffices to note that the use of the variable must occur in the scope of a declaration, and hence we are guaranteed that the value in *locals* is run-time compatible with the type of the declaration.