

Visualizing Quaternions

Andrew J. Hanson

Computer Science Department

Indiana University

Siggraph 2001 Tutorial

GRAND PLAN

I: Fundamentals of Quaternions

II: Visualizing Quaternion Geometry

III: Quaternion Frames

IV: Clifford Algebras

I: Fundamentals of Quaternions

- **Motivation**
- **2D Frames:** Simple example, complex numbers.
- **3D Frames:** Rotations and quaternions.

II: Visualizing Quaternion Geometry

- **The Spherical Projection Trick:** Visualizing unit vectors.
- **Quaternion Frames**
- **Quaternion Curves**
- **Quaternion Splines**

III: Quaternion Frames

- **Quaternion Curves:** generalize the Frenet Frame
- **Quaternion Frame Evolution**
- **Quaternion Curve and Surface Optimization**

IV: Clifford Algebras

- **Clifford Algebras:** Generalize quaternion structure to N-dimensions
- **Reflections and Rotations:** New ways of looking at rotations
- **Pin(N), Spin(N), O(N), and SO(N)**

Visualizing Quaternions

Part I: Fundamentals of Quaternions

Andrew J. Hanson

Indiana University

Part I: OUTLINE

- **Motivation**
- **2D Frames:** Simple example, complex numbers.
- **3D Frames:** Rotations and quaternions.

Motivation

- Quaternion methods are now commonplace in graphics.
- Quaternions are very geometric, but we seldom attempt to visualize their properties geometrically.
- That's going to be our job today!

Basic Issues

- Basic fact number 1: Rotation matrices are **Co-ordinate Frame Axes.**
- Basic fact number 2: Rotation matrices form *groups*, which have *geometric properties*.

Task vs Strategy


- **Our task: Understand Rotations.**
- Rotations don't just **act** on geometry, rotations **are** geometry.
- **Our strategy: the geometry should help us** to visualize the properties of rotations.

Simple Example: 2D Rotations

- 2D rotations \Rightarrow **geometric origin** for *complex numbers*.
- **Complex numbers** are a special subspace of quaternions.
- Thus 2D rotations **introduce us to quaternions** and their geometric meaning.


Frames in 2D

The tangent and normal to 2D curve move continuously along the curve:




Frames in 2D

The tangent and normal to 2D curve move continuously along the curve:



Frames in 2D

The tangent and normal to 2D curve move continuously along the curve:



Frame Matrix in 2D

This motion is described at each point (or time) by the matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(\theta) &= \left[\hat{\mathbf{T}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{N}} \right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} . \end{aligned}$$

Another 2D Frame

If we did not know about $\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1$, we might represent the frame differently, e.g., as:

$$R_2(A, B) = \begin{bmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix} .$$

with the constraint $A^2 + B^2 = 1$.

The Belt Trick:

Is There a Problem?

Demonstration: Rotations “want to be doubled” to get back where you started.

See: Hart, Francis, and Kauffman.

Half-Angle Transform:

A Fix for the Problem?

$$R_2(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} & -2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ 2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Half-Angle Transform:

A Fix for the Problem?

Or, with $a = \cos(\theta/2)$, $b = \sin(\theta/2)$,

(i.e., $A = a^2 - b^2$, $B = 2ab$),

we could parameterize as:

$$R_2(a, b) = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 - b^2 & -2ab \\ 2ab & a^2 - b^2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

where orthonormality implies

$$(a^2 + b^2)^2 = 1$$

which reduces back to $a^2 + b^2 = 1$.

Half-Angle Transform:

So the pair (a, b) provides an odd **double-valued** parameterization of the frame:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{T}} & \hat{\mathbf{N}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 - b^2 & -2ab \\ 2ab & a^2 - b^2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

where (a, b) is precisely the *same frame* as $(-a, -b)$.

Frame Evolution in 2D

Examine time-evolution of 2D frame (on our way to 3D): First in $\theta(t)$ coordinates:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{T}} & \hat{\mathbf{N}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

Differentiate to find frame equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{\mathbf{T}}}(t) &= +\kappa \hat{\mathbf{N}} \\ \dot{\hat{\mathbf{N}}}(t) &= -\kappa \hat{\mathbf{T}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa(t) = d\theta/dt$ is the **curvature**.

Frame Evolution in 2D

Rearrange to make a “vector matrix:”

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\hat{\mathbf{T}}}(t) \\ \dot{\hat{\mathbf{N}}}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & +\kappa(t) \\ -\kappa(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{T}}(t) \\ \hat{\mathbf{N}}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Frame Evolution in (a, b) :

Using the basis $(\hat{\mathbf{T}}, \hat{\mathbf{N}})$ we have **Four equations** with **Three constraints** from orthonormality, for **One** true degree of freedom.

Major Simplification occurs in (a, b) coordinates!!

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{T}}} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} a\dot{a} - b\dot{b} \\ a\dot{b} + b\dot{a} \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{a} \\ \dot{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

Frame Evolution in (a, b) :

But this formula for $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ is just $\kappa\hat{\mathbf{N}}$, where

$$\kappa\hat{\mathbf{N}} = \kappa \begin{bmatrix} -2ab \\ a^2 - b^2 \end{bmatrix} = \kappa \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\kappa\hat{\mathbf{N}} = \kappa \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

2D Quaternion Frames!

Rearranging terms, *both* $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$ eqns reduce to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{a} \\ \dot{b} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\kappa \\ +\kappa & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the square root of frame equations.

2D Quaternions . . .

So *one equation* in the two “quaternion” variables (a, b) with the constraint $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ contains *both* the frame equations

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{T}}} = +\kappa \hat{\mathbf{N}}$$

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{N}}} = -\kappa \hat{\mathbf{T}}$$

⇒ this is much better for computer implementation, etc.

Rotation as Complex Multiplication

If we let $(a + ib) = \exp(i\theta/2)$ we see that
rotation is complex multiplication!

“Quaternion Frames” in 2D are just complex numbers, with

Evolution Eqns = derivative of $\exp(i\theta/2)$!

Rotation with no matrices!

This is the miracle:

$$a + ib = e^{i\theta/2}$$

represents rotations “more nicely” than the matrices $R(\theta)$.

$$(a' + ib')(a + ib) = e^{i(\theta'+\theta)/2} = A + iB$$

where if we *want* the matrix, we write:

$$R(\theta')R(\theta) = R(\theta' + \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} A^2 - B^2 & -2AB \\ 2AB & A^2 - B^2 \end{bmatrix}$$


The Algebra of 2D Rotations

The algebra corresponding to 2D rotations is easy: just complex multiplication!!

$$\begin{aligned}(a', b') * (a, b) &\cong (a' + ib')(a + ib) \\ &= a'a - b'b + i(a'b + ab') \\ &\cong (a'a - b'b, a'b + ab') \\ &= (A, B)\end{aligned}$$


The Geometry of 2D Rotations

(a, b) with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ is a **point on the unit circle**, also written S^1 . Rotations are just **complex multiplication**, and take you around the unit circle like this:




The Geometry of 2D Rotations

(a, b) with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ is a **point on the unit circle**, also written S^1 . Rotations are just **complex multiplication**, and take you around the unit circle like this:



The Geometry of 2D Rotations

(a, b) with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ is a **point on the unit circle**, also written S^1 . Rotations are just **complex multiplication**, and take you around the unit circle like this:



Quaternion Frames


In 3D, *repeat our trick*: take square root of the frame, but now use *quaternions*:

- Write down the 3D frame.
- Write as double-valued quadratic form.
- Rewrite *linearly* in the new variables.

The Geometry of 3D Rotations

We begin with a basic fact:


Euler theorem: every 3D frame can be written as a spinning by θ about a fixed axis \hat{n} , the eigenvector of the rotation matrix:



The Geometry of 3D Rotations

We begin with a basic fact:


Euler theorem: every 3D frame can be written as a spinning by θ about a fixed axis \hat{n} , the eigenvector of the rotation matrix:



The Geometry of 3D Rotations

We begin with a basic fact:

Euler theorem: every 3D frame can be written as a spinning by θ about a fixed axis \hat{n} , the eigenvector of the rotation matrix:



Quaternion Frames ...

Matrix giving 3D rotation by θ about axis $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$:

$$R_3(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c + (n_1)^2(1 - c) & n_1n_2(1 - c) - sn_3 & n_3n_1(1 - c) + sn_2 \\ n_1n_2(1 - c) + sn_3 & c + (n_2)^2(1 - c) & n_3n_2(1 - c) - sn_1 \\ n_1n_3(1 - c) - sn_2 & n_2n_3(1 - c) + sn_1 & c + (n_3)^2(1 - c) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $c = \cos \theta$, $s = \sin \theta$, and $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 1$.

Quaternion Frame Parameters

To find θ and axis \hat{n} , given *any* rotation matrix or frame M , we need two steps:

$$\text{Tr}M = 1 + 2 \cos \theta$$


\Rightarrow solve for θ .

$$M - M^t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2n_3 \sin \theta & +2n_2 \sin \theta \\ +2n_3 \sin \theta & 0 & -2n_1 \sin \theta \\ -2n_2 \sin \theta & +2n_1 \sin \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

\Rightarrow solve for \hat{n} as long as $\theta \neq 0$.

Quaternions and Rotations


Some set of axes can be chosen as the identity matrix:



$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

Quaternions and Rotations

Any arbitrary set of axes forms the columns of an orthogonal rotation matrix:




A diagram showing three axes labeled **a**, **b**, and **c** originating from a common point. Axis **a** points towards the upper right, axis **b** points towards the upper left, and axis **c** points towards the lower left.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} a_x & b_x & c_x \\ a_y & b_y & c_y \\ a_z & b_z & c_z \end{vmatrix}$$

Quaternions and Rotations

Any arbitrary set of axes forms the columns of an orthogonal rotation matrix:




A diagram showing three axes labeled **a**, **b**, and **c** originating from a common point. Axis **a** points towards the upper right, axis **b** points towards the upper left, and axis **c** points towards the lower left.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{a}_x & \mathbf{b}_x & \mathbf{c}_x \\ \mathbf{a}_y & \mathbf{b}_y & \mathbf{c}_y \\ \mathbf{a}_z & \mathbf{b}_z & \mathbf{c}_z \end{vmatrix}$$


Quaternions and Rotations

Any arbitrary set of axes forms the columns of an orthogonal rotation matrix:


$$= \begin{vmatrix} a_x & b_x & c_x \\ a_y & b_y & c_y \\ a_z & b_z & c_z \end{vmatrix}$$

Quaternions and Rotations

Any arbitrary set of axes forms the columns of an orthogonal rotation matrix:


$$= \begin{vmatrix} a_x & b_x & c_x \\ a_y & b_y & c_y \\ a_z & b_z & c_z \end{vmatrix}$$

Quaternions and Rotations

By Euler's theorem, that matrix has an *eigenvector* \hat{n} , and so is representable as a single rotation about \hat{n} applied to the identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{array}{c} \text{b} \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ \text{a} \\ \text{c} \end{array} = \begin{vmatrix} a_x & b_x & c_x \\ a_y & b_y & c_y \\ a_z & b_z & c_z \end{vmatrix} \\
 = & \begin{array}{c} \hat{n} \\ \nearrow \\ \text{---} \\ \searrow \\ \theta \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} y \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ x \\ z \end{array} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Rotations and Quadratic Polynomials

Remember $R_2(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 - b^2 & -2ab \\ 2ab & a^2 - b^2 \end{bmatrix}$?

What if we try a 3×3 matrix R_3 instead of 2×2 ?

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2q_1q_2 - 2q_0q_3 & 2q_1q_3 + 2q_0q_2 \\ 2q_1q_2 + 2q_0q_3 & q_0^2 - q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2q_2q_3 - 2q_0q_1 \\ 2q_1q_3 - 2q_0q_2 & 2q_2q_3 + 2q_0q_1 & q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 + q_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hint: set $q_1 = q_2 = 0$ or any other $(i \neq j)$ pair to see a familiar sight!

Quaternions and Rotations

Why does this matrix parameterize a rotation? Because *Columns* of $R_3(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ are **orthogonal**:

$$\text{col}_i \cdot \text{col}_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

What is **LENGTH** of 3-vector column?

$$\text{col}_i \cdot \text{col}_i = (q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2)^2$$

Quaternions and Rotations ...

So if we require $q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 = 1$, orthonormality is assured and $R_3(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a rotation.

This implies q is a point on 3-sphere in 4D.

NOTE: $q \Rightarrow -q$ gives same $R_3()$.

Quaternions and Rotations ...

HOW does $q = (q_0, \mathbf{q})$ represent rotations?

LOOK at

$$R_3(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \stackrel{?}{=} R_3(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$$

NOTICE: Choosing

$$q(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}, \hat{\mathbf{n}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right)$$

makes the R_3 equation an *IDENTITY*.

Quaternions and Rotations . . .

WHAT happens if you do **TWO** rotations?

EXAMINE the action of two rotations

$$R(q')R(q) = R(Q)$$

EXPRESS in **quadratic forms** in q and LOOK FOR an analog of complex multiplication:

Quaternions and Rotations ...

RESULT: the following multiplication rule

$q' * q = Q$ yields **exactly** the correct 3×3 rotation matrix $R(Q)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_0 = [q' * q]_0 \\ Q_1 = [q' * q]_1 \\ Q_2 = [q' * q]_2 \\ Q_3 = [q' * q]_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q'_0 q_0 - q'_1 q_1 - q'_2 q_2 - q'_3 q_3 \\ q'_0 q_1 + q'_1 q_0 + q'_2 q_3 - q'_3 q_2 \\ q'_0 q_2 + q'_2 q_0 + q'_3 q_1 - q'_1 q_3 \\ q'_0 q_3 + q'_3 q_0 + q'_1 q_2 - q'_2 q_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is Quaternion Multiplication.

Algebra of Quaternions = 3D Rotations!

2D rotation matrices are represented
by **complex multiplication**

3D rotation matrices are represented
by **quaternion multiplication**

Algebraic 2D/3D Rotations

Therefore in 3D, the 2D complex multiplication

$$(a', b') * (a, b) = (a'a - b'b, a'b + ab')$$

is replaced by 4D quaternion multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} q' * q = & (q'_0 q_0 - q'_1 q_1 - q'_2 q_2 - q'_3 q_3, \\ & q'_0 q_1 + q'_1 q_0 + q'_2 q_3 - q'_3 q_2, \\ & q'_0 q_2 + q'_2 q_0 + q'_3 q_1 - q'_1 q_3, \\ & q'_0 q_3 + q'_3 q_0 + q'_1 q_2 - q'_2 q_1) \end{aligned}$$

Algebra of Quaternions ...

It is easier to remember by dividing it into the *scalar* piece q_0 and the *vector* piece \vec{q} :

$$q' * q = (q'_0 q_0 - \vec{q}' \cdot \vec{q}, \\ q'_0 \vec{q} + q_0 \vec{q}' + \vec{q}' \times \vec{q})$$

Quaternions and Rotations

Another miracle: let us generalize the 2D equation

$$a + ib = e^{i\theta/2}$$

How? We set

$$\begin{aligned} q &= (q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) \\ &= q_0 + iq_1 + jq_2 + kq_3 \\ &= e^{(\mathbf{I} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \theta / 2)} \end{aligned}$$

with $q_0 = \cos(\theta/2)$ and $\vec{q} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \sin(\theta/2)$
and $\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k})$.

Quaternions and Rotations ...

Then if we take $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, and $i * j = k$ (cyclic), quaternion multiplication rule is automatic!

$\Rightarrow q = q_0 + iq_1 + jq_2 + kq_3$ is the standard representation for a *quaternion*, and we can also use 2×2 Pauli matrices in place of (i, j, k) if we want.

Key to Quaternion Intuition

Fundamental Intuition: We know

$$q_0 = \cos(\theta/2), \quad \vec{q} = \hat{n} \sin(\theta/2)$$

We also know that *any coordinate frame* M can be written as $M = R(\theta, \hat{n})$.

Therefore

\vec{q} points exactly along the axis we have to rotate around to go from identity I to M , and the length of \vec{q} tells us how much to rotate.

Summarize Quaternion Properties

- **Unit four-vector.** Take $q = (q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) = (q_0, \vec{q})$ to obey constraint $q \cdot q = 1$.

- **Multiplication rule.** The quaternion product q and p is

$$q * p = (q_0 p_0 - \vec{q} \cdot \vec{p}, q_0 \vec{p} + p_0 \vec{q} + \vec{q} \times \vec{p}),$$

or, alternatively,

$$\begin{bmatrix} [q * p]_0 \\ [q * p]_1 \\ [q * p]_2 \\ [q * p]_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 p_0 - q_1 p_1 - q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3 \\ q_0 p_1 + q_1 p_0 + q_2 p_3 - q_3 p_2 \\ q_0 p_2 + q_2 p_0 + q_3 p_1 - q_1 p_3 \\ q_0 p_3 + q_3 p_0 + q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Quaternion Summary . . .

- **Rotation Correspondence.** The unit quaternions q and $-q$ correspond to a single 3D rotation R_3 :

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2q_1q_2 - 2q_0q_3 & 2q_1q_3 + 2q_0q_2 \\ 2q_1q_2 + 2q_0q_3 & q_0^2 - q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2q_2q_3 - 2q_0q_1 \\ 2q_1q_3 - 2q_0q_2 & 2q_2q_3 + 2q_0q_1 & q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 + q_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Quaternion Summary ...

- **Rotation Correspondence.** Let

$$q = \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}, \hat{\mathbf{n}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right),$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ a unit 3-vector, $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 1$. Then $R(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ is usual 3D rotation by θ in the plane \perp to $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$.

- **Inversion.** Any 3×3 matrix R can be inverted for q up to a sign. Carefully treat singularities! Can choose sign, e.g., by local consistency, to get continuous frames.

SUMMARY

- **Complex numbers** represent 2D frames.
- **Complex multiplication represents 2D rotation.**
- **Quaternions** represent 3D frames.
- **Quaternion multiplication represents 3D rotation.**