

B561 – Selected Solutions for Assignment 2

Dirk Van Gucht & Mathias Niepert

October 9, 2007

Selected Solutions

- (7) Let X be a subset of R and let r and s be relations on R (i.e., r is the relation $r(R)$ and s is the relation $s(R)$). Prove or disprove the following equalities.
- (a) $\pi_X(r \cap s) = \pi_X(r) \cap \pi_X(s)$
 - (b) $\pi_X(r \cup s) = \pi_X(r) \cup \pi_X(s)$
 - (c) $\pi_X(r - s) = \pi_X(r) - \pi_X(s)$
- (a) False. Counterexample: $r = \{(x, y)\}, s = \{(x, z)\}$ and $R = \{A, B\}, X = \{A\}$. Then $\pi_X(r \cap s) = \emptyset$ but $\pi_X(r) \cap \pi_X(s) = \{x\}$.
- (b) True. $\pi_X(r) = \{t \mid \exists v \in r \text{ such that } \pi_X(\{v\}) = \{t\}\}$ and $\pi_X(s) = \{t \mid \exists v \in s \text{ such that } \pi_X(\{v\}) = \{t\}\}$. Hence, $\pi_X(r) \cup \pi_X(s) = \{t \mid (\exists v \in r \text{ such that } \pi_X(\{v\}) = \{t\}) \text{ or } (\exists v \in s \text{ such that } \pi_X(\{v\}) = \{t\})\} = \{t \mid \exists v \in r \cup s \text{ such that } \pi_X(\{v\}) = \{t\}\} = \pi_X(r \cup s)$. Note that the “or” and “and” connectives are indeed those from propositional logic and that one could write \vee and \wedge instead.
- (c) False. Use counterexample from (a).
- (8) Let r and r' be relations on R , and let s be a relation on S . Prove or disprove:
- (a) $(r \cap r') \bowtie s = (r \bowtie s) \cap (r' \bowtie s)$
 - (b) $(r - r') \bowtie s = (r \bowtie s) - (r' \bowtie s)$

(a) The statement is correct. Prove: $(r \cap r') \bowtie s = \pi_{R \cup S}(\{t \mid t \in (r \cap r') \times s \text{ and } \forall A, B \in R \cap S : t[A] = t[B]\}) = \pi_{R \cup S}(\{t \mid t \in (r \times s) \text{ and } t \in (r' \times s) \text{ and } \forall A, B \in R \cap S : t[A] = t[B]\}) = \pi_{R \cup S}(\{t \mid (t \in (r \times s) \text{ and } \forall A, B \in R \cap S : t[A] = t[B]) \text{ and } (t \in (r' \times s) \text{ and } \forall A, B \in R \cap S : t[A] = t[B])\}) = \pi_{R \cup S}(\{t \mid t \in r \times s \text{ and } \forall A, B \in R \cap S : t[A] = t[B]\}) \cap \pi_{R \cup S}(\{t \mid t \in r' \times s \text{ and } \forall A, B \in R \cap S : t[A] = t[B]\}) = (r \bowtie s) \cap (r' \bowtie s).$

(b) The statement is correct. Proof analogous to (a).

(11) Let $r(R)$ and $s(S)$ be relations where $R \cap S = \emptyset$. Prove

$$(r \bowtie s) \div s = r.$$

Here \div denotes the division operator.

Since $R \cap S = \emptyset$, $(r \bowtie s) \div s = (r \times s) \div s$. Hence we have to show that $(r \times s) \div s = r$. Let $d = (r \times s) \div s$. We know by definition that d is the largest (in terms of cardinality) relation instance such that $d \times s \subseteq r \times s$ (e.g., see the textbook). But then d must be r .

(12) Let r be a relation on schema R and let s and s' be relations on scheme S , where $R \supseteq S$. Show that if $s \subseteq s'$, then

$$r \div s \supseteq r \div s'.$$

Show the converse is false.

We use the formula for the division from problem (13)(a). Since $s \subseteq s'$, we clearly have that $\pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \bowtie s) - r) = \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \times s) - r) \subseteq \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \times s') - r) = \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \bowtie s') - r)$. But then $\pi_{R'}(r) - \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \bowtie s) - r) \supseteq \pi_{R'}(r) - \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \bowtie s') - r)$ and hence, $r \div s \supseteq r \div s'$.

The converse is false. Counterexample: $\{(r_1, s_1), (r_1, s_2), (r_2, s_1)\}$, $s = \{(s_1)\}$, $s' = \{(s_2)\}$. Now we have that $r \div s = \{(r_1), (r_2)\}$ and $r \div s' = \{r_1\}$, i.e., $r \div s \supseteq r \div s'$ but not $s \subseteq s'$.

(13) Let $r(R)$ and $s(S)$ be relations with $R \supseteq S$ and let $R' = R - S$. Note that $t \in s$ denotes a tuple t in s and the expression $\sigma_{S=t}(s)$ denotes the selection of exactly the tuple t on s . Prove the identities

$$(a) \quad r \div s = \pi_{R'}(r) - \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \bowtie s) - r)$$

By definition, $r \div s = \{t \mid t \in \pi_{R-S}(r) \text{ and } \forall t_s \in s \exists t_r \in r \text{ such that } \pi_S(\{t_r\}) = \{t_s\} \text{ and } \pi_{R-S}(\{t_r\}) = \{t\}\}$.

Since $R' = R-S$ we have that $\pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \bowtie s) - r) = \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \times s) - r)$.

Clearly, $\pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \times s) - r) = \{t \mid t \in \pi_{R-S}(r) \text{ and } \exists t_s \in s \forall t_r \in r \text{ one has that } \pi_S(\{t_r\}) \neq \{t_s\} \text{ or } \pi_{R-S}(\{t_r\}) \neq \{t\}\}$. In other words, it is the set of all “disqualified” tuples. Now, $\pi_{R'}(r) - \pi_{R'}((\pi_{R'}(r) \bowtie s) - r) = \{t \mid t \in \pi_{R-S}(r) \text{ and } \forall t_s \in s \exists t_r \in r \text{ such that } \pi_S(\{t_r\}) = \{t_s\} \text{ and } \pi_{R-S}(\{t_r\}) = \{t\}\} = r \div s$.